TREASURY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR TAX ADMINISTRATION



Many Taxpayers Are Still Not Complying With Noncash Charitable Contribution Reporting Requirements

December 20, 2012

Reference Number: 2013-40-009

This report has cleared the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration disclosure review process and information determined to be restricted from public release has been redacted from this document.

<u>Redaction Legend</u>: 2(f) = Risk Circumvention of Agency Regulation or Statute

Phone Number/ 202-622-6500E-mail Address/ TIGTACommunications@tigta.treas.govWebsite/ http://www.treasury.gov/tigta



HIGHLIGHTS

MANY TAXPAYERS ARE STILL NOT COMPLYING WITH NONCASH CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Highlights

Final Report issued on December 20, 2012

Highlights of Reference Number: 2013-40-009 to the Internal Revenue Service Commissioner for the Wage and Investment Division.

IMPACT ON TAXPAYERS

Taxpayers can generally deduct on their Federal tax return noncash charitable contributions made to qualifying organizations during the tax year. However, taxpayers who do not comply with the noncash contributions reporting requirements could be incorrectly reducing their tax liabilities and receiving tax refunds to which they are not entitled. TIGTA estimates more than 273,000 taxpayers claimed approximately \$3.8 billion in potentially erroneous noncash charitable contributions in Tax Year 2010, which resulted in an estimated \$1.1 billion reduction in tax.

WHY TIGTA DID THE AUDIT

This audit was initiated as a follow-up to prior TIGTA audit recommendations. The objective of this review was to assess the IRS's actions to ensure taxpayers are complying with reporting requirements for claiming noncash charitable contributions.

WHAT TIGTA FOUND

IRS controls are not sufficient to ensure taxpayers are complying with noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements. Statistical samples of Tax Year 2010 tax returns that claimed more than \$5,000 in noncash charitable contributions showed that approximately 60 percent of the taxpayers did not comply with the noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements. These taxpayers claimed noncash contributions totaling approximately \$201.6 million. Taxpayers who donate motor vehicles must attach a Form 1098-C, *Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes*, to their tax returns. However, the IRS is still not effectively identifying taxpayers who are not complying with reporting requirements for donations of motor vehicles.

A match of the Forms 1098-C submitted with tax returns processed as of December 31, 2011, to those submitted by charities identified 35,846 tax returns with motor vehicle claims totaling approximately \$77 million where no Form 1098-C was filed by the charity. In addition, 1,708 taxpayers reported fair market values of the vehicles that exceeded sale proceeds by a combined total of \$2.2 million.

WHAT TIGTA RECOMMENDED

TIGTA recommended that the IRS expand procedures to identify tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions that do not have a Form 8283, *Noncash Charitable Contributions*, or qualified appraisal attached when required and develop processes to systemically verify the accuracy of noncash charitable contributions. In addition, the IRS should revise the Form 8283 and related instructions and develop procedures to match Forms 1098-C submitted with individual tax returns to those filed by charitable organizations.

IRS management agreed with three of the six recommendations and partially agreed with one. IRS management did not agree with TIGTA's conclusion that information contained in the Individual Return Transaction File is incorrect. In addition, IRS management did not agree to develop procedures to match Forms 1098-C submitted with individual tax returns to those filed by charitable organizations.

2(f). However, in response to our recommendation to capture additional information from the Form 8283, IRS management agreed to capture the information necessary to identify taxpayers who donate motor vehicles, which will enable the IRS to match Forms 1098-C.



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

December 20, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMISSIONER, WAGE AND INVESTMENT DIVISION

Mile 5 Miking

FROM:

Michael E. McKenney Acting Deputy Inspector General for Audit

SUBJECT:

Final Audit Report – Many Taxpayers Are Still Not Complying With Noncash Charitable Contribution Reporting Requirements (Audit # 201140035)

This report presents the results of our review of the Internal Revenue Service's actions to ensure taxpayers are complying with the reporting requirements for claiming noncash charitable contributions. This audit was a follow-up to prior Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration audit recommendations and was included in our Fiscal Year 2012 Annual Audit Plan. This audit addresses the major management challenge of Erroneous and Improper Payments and Credits.

Management's complete response to the draft report is included as Appendix VIII.

Copies of this report are also being sent to the Internal Revenue Service managers affected by the report recommendations. If you have any questions, please contact me or Augusta R. Cook, Acting Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Returns Processing and Account Services).



Table of Contents

Background		Page	1
Results of Review		Page	5
The Internal Revenue Service Continues to Allow Unsupported Deductions for Noncash Charitable Contributions		Page	5
Recommendation 1:	Page 11		
Recommendations 2 through 4:	Page 12		
Better Controls Are Needed to Ensure Donations of Motor Vehicles Are Appropriately Reported		Page	13
Recommendation 5:	Page 14		
Recommendation 6:	Page 15		

Appendices

Appendix I – Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology	Page 16
Appendix II – Major Contributors to This Report	Page 20
Appendix III – Report Distribution List	Page 21
Appendix IV – Outcome Measures	Page 22
Appendix V – Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration Reports on Compliance With Noncash Charitable Contribution Reporting Requirements	.Page 27
Appendix VI – Analysis of Statistically Valid Samples From Tax Year 2010 Returns With Noncash Charitable Contributions	Daga 20
Claimed As Deductions	Page 29
Appendix VII – Form 8283, Noncash Charitable Contributions	Page 31
Appendix VIII – Management's Response to the Draft Report	Page 33



Abbreviations

e-file(d), e-filing	Electronically file(d); electronic filing
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
IRTF	Individual Return Transaction File
TIGTA	Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration



Background

The Internal Revenue Code allows individuals to deduct on their tax return noncash charitable contributions made to qualifying organizations during the tax year.¹ Noncash contributions of property, clothing, vehicles, art, and other noncash items have long been an important source of revenue for many charitable organizations and a popular deduction for taxpayers. In Processing

Years² 2011 and 2012,³ approximately 21 million and 20 million individuals claimed noncash charitable contributions, respectively.⁴

Taxpayers who donate property to a qualified charitable organization can generally deduct the fair market value of the property at the time of the contribution.⁵ The fair

More than 20 million individuals claimed noncash contributions in Processing Year 2012.

market value is the price that the property would sell for on the open market. Contributions must be deducted in the year that they are made. However, the amount that can be deducted is generally limited to 50 percent of Adjusted Gross Income.⁶ Contributions in excess of the Adjusted Gross Income limit can generally be carried over and deducted in each of the next five tax years until they are used up, but may not exceed that time.⁷

Reporting requirements for individuals claiming noncash charitable contributions

The legitimacy of the values placed on some of these noncash contributions has been questioned by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and Congress. Concerns primarily focused on taxpayers deducting amounts for noncash contributions that did not reflect the fair market value of the donation as required by the tax laws. As a result, taxpayers were benefiting from the deductions more than charities were benefiting from the donations.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004,⁸ enacted on October 22, 2004, expanded the reporting requirements for noncash charitable contributions. Taxpayers claiming noncash charitable contributions of more than \$500 must attach Form 8283, *Noncash Charitable Contributions*, to

¹ I.R.C. §170(a).

² The calendar year in which the tax return or document is processed by the Internal Revenue Service.

³ As of August 9, 2011, and June 28, 2012, respectively.

⁴ Errors in IRS data prevented us from being able to compute the amount of noncash charitable contributions claimed. See page 10 of this report.

⁵ Some conditions can affect the taxpayer's ability to deduct fair market value.

⁶ Adjusted Gross Income is the total income minus certain allowable adjustments.

⁷ The period over which the deduction can be carried over is dependent on the property being donated.

⁸ Pub. L. No. 108-357, 118 Stat. 1418.



their tax returns.⁹ The Form 8283 requires the taxpayer to provide a description of the donated property and other information such as the charitable organization's name and address, the date of the contribution, and the condition of the property. Part V of the Form 8283 must also be completed and signed by the charitable organization that received the donation (the donee).

Taxpayers who claim noncash charitable contributions of more than \$5,000 must provide the following information in addition to the Form 8283:

- Noncash contributions of \$5,001 to \$500,000 A signature from the charitable organization acknowledging receipt of the donated property and the signature of a qualified appraiser.
- Noncash contributions of more than \$500,000 A signature from the charitable organization acknowledging receipt of the donated property, the signature of a qualified appraiser, and a copy of the appraisal.

Taxpayers must also attach an appraisal for art donations of \$20,000 or more, any single item of clothing or any household item that is not in good used condition or better and more than \$500, and easements on buildings in historic districts.

Taxpayers donating motor vehicles valued at more than \$500 must also attach Copy B of Form 1098-C, *Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes*, and Form 8283 to their tax returns. The Form 8283 description of the donated vehicle should include the vehicle's make, model, condition, and mileage. For a donated vehicle with a claimed value of more than \$500, the taxpayer can generally deduct the smaller of the fair market value on the date of the contribution or the gross proceeds from the sale of the vehicle by the qualified organization.



For taxpayers who electronically file (e-file) their tax returns, in addition to the Forms 8283 and 1098-C attached to their e-filed tax returns, they must also send paper Forms 8283 and 1098-C to the IRS with the original donee and/or appraiser signatures. The forms are to be attached to

⁹ See Appendix VII for the Form 8283.



Form 8453, U.S. Individual Income Tax Transmittal for an IRS e-file Return, and mailed to the IRS.

Congress has previously raised concerns with noncash charitable contributions

The Joint Committee on Taxation, at the request of the Senate Finance Committee, issued a report on January 27, 2005,¹⁰ to address members' concerns with noncash charitable contributions. The Committee made a number of proposals to reduce the number of improper claims for the noncash charitable contributions deduction. These proposals included:

- Eliminating the charitable contribution deduction for facade and conservation easements relating to personal residence properties, substantially reducing the deduction for all other qualified conservation contributions, and imposing new standards on appraisals and appraisers regarding the valuation of such contributions.
- Limiting the tax deduction for charitable contributions of clothing and household items to \$500 per taxable year with no carryover of contributions of more than \$500.
- Limiting the deduction for charitable contributions of property to the donor's basis in the property or, if less, the fair market value of the property.
- Requiring certain professional qualifications for appraisers and establishing uniform appraisal guidelines.
- Eliminating, in whole or in part, the charitable contribution deduction for property.

In March 2007, the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) reported that the IRS needed to take additional actions to identify taxpayers who do not comply with the noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements.¹¹ The TIGTA has since conducted two additional reviews of the IRS's efforts to ensure taxpayers are complying with the reporting requirements for noncash charitable contributions.¹² In each of the reviews conducted, we reported that the IRS had not implemented adequate controls to identify taxpayers' noncompliance with noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements.

This review was performed at the Wage and Investment Division Headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia, during the period December 2011 through July 2012. The purpose of this review was to determine if the actions taken in response to our prior audit recommendations were effective. We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and

¹⁰ Joint Committee on Taxation, *Options to Improve Tax Compliance and Reform Tax Expenditures* (Jan. 27, 2005). ¹¹ TIGTA, Ref. No. 2007-30-049, *The Internal Revenue Service Needs to Improve Procedures to Identify*

Noncompliance With the Reporting Requirements for Noncash Charitable Contributions (Mar. 2007).

¹² See Appendix V for a list of the reports, with their findings, recommendations, and IRS corrective actions.



conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. Detailed information on our audit objective, scope, and methodology is presented in Appendix I. Major contributors to the report are listed in Appendix II.



Results of Review

The Internal Revenue Service Continues to Allow Unsupported Deductions for Noncash Charitable Contributions

An analysis of statistical samples of large-dollar noncash charitable contributions claimed on Tax Year¹³ 2010 returns showed that the IRS did not effectively identify claims in which the required information was not provided or was incomplete. Approximately 60 percent (303 of 507) of individuals in our statistical samples did not comply with the reporting requirements. These individuals claimed approximately \$201.6 million in noncash charitable contributions.¹⁴ Figure 1 provides the results of our analysis.¹⁵

Taxpayer Errors	Contributions of \$5,000 to \$500,000	Contributions of More Than \$500,000	Totals
Tax Returns Reviewed	287	220	507
Exceptions	213	90	303
Error Rate	74%	41%	60%
Unsubstantiated Contributions	\$2.7 million	\$198.9 million	\$201.6 million

Figure 1: Summary of Taxpayer Errors in Reporting Noncash Charitable Contributions on Tax Year 2010 Returns

Exception Issues ¹⁶			
Proper Forms Not Attached	16	39	55
Incorrectly Completed Form 8283	116	59	175
Incorrectly Reported Items on Form 8283	129	10	139
Unclear Description of Donated Property	17	29	46

Source: TIGTA analysis of noncash charitable contributions more than \$5,000 claimed on Tax Year 2010 returns.

¹³ A 12-month accounting period for keeping records on income and expenses used as the basis for calculating the annual taxes due. For most individual taxpayers, the tax year is synonymous with the calendar year. ¹⁴ See Appendix I for sampling details.

¹⁵ See Appendix VI for detailed results of the analysis of the statistically valid samples.

¹⁶ The number of tax returns will not equal the number of exceptions identified because some tax returns contain more than one error.



Errors included:

- Forms 8283 or appraisals not attached when required.
- Incomplete Donee Acknowledgement section and/or Declaration of Appraisal section on Form 8283.
- Incorrectly reported items on Section A of Form 8283 by not combining similar items or reporting non-publicly traded securities.
- Unclear or incomplete descriptions of the donated property, including donations of securities.
- Missing or ineligible contribution dates and dates of receipt acknowledged by the charitable organization.

We estimate that more than 273,000 taxpayers claimed approximately \$3.8 billion in potentially unsubstantiated noncash contributions in Tax Year 2010, which resulted in an estimated \$1.1 billion reduction in tax.

Since the Joint Committee on Taxation report in January 2005, the IRS has taken a number of steps to improve taxpayers' compliance by:

- Including additional noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements.
- Revising tax forms and publications.
- Developing an outreach program for taxpayers and tax practitioners.
- Implementing checks to ensure that taxpayers include the Form 8283 and other required support with their tax returns.

However, the IRS's actions have not been effective at identifying the majority of unsubstantiated noncash charitable contributions by taxpayers during returns processing. Without effective processes to identify individuals who do not comply with noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements, the IRS may be allowing these individuals to inappropriately reduce their tax liabilities and subsequently receive tax refunds to which they are not entitled.

The IRS needs to ensure that current processes verify that required documentation for all noncash charitable contributions is present. In addition, the IRS is not effectively using the information on the Form 8283 to identify questionable noncash contributions at the time tax returns are filed. Clarifying taxpayer instructions and revising the Form 8283 can also help improve taxpayer compliance with noncash charitable contribution requirements.



<u>Current processes do not ensure taxpayers provide the Form 8283 or a qualified</u> <u>appraisal when required</u>

In March 2007, we reported that additional procedures are needed to identify noncompliance

In general, individuals who claim noncash charitable contributions must provide the following with their tax returns:

- Noncash contributions of \$501 to \$5,000 – Form 8283 containing a description of the donated property.
- Noncash contributions of motor vehicles more than \$500 – Form 8283 and Form 1098-C.
- Noncash contributions of \$5,001 to \$500,000 – Form 8283 containing a description of the donated property, a signature from the charitable organization acknowledging receipt of the donated property, and the signature of a qualified appraiser. Noncash contributions of more than \$500,000 also require a copy of the appraisal.

with charitable contribution requirements during returns processing. The IRS responded that it would correspond with taxpayers claiming noncash contributions over a specific dollar threshold but with no Forms 8283 attached to their tax returns. It also stated it would use a specific indicator to identify for the Examination function tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions over a specific threshold dollar amount but with no Forms 8283.

However, 18 percent (55 of 303) of the errors identified in our statistical samples of noncash charitable contributions of more than \$5,000 resulted from missing documents – missing Forms 8283, Forms 1098-C, or appraisals. Approximately 65 percent (36 of 55) were filed with paper returns while 35 percent (19 of 55) were e-filed.

Processes for verifying tax returns are inconsistent

IRS employees review paper-filed tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions to determine if required information has been included with the tax return. Those above a certain threshold are identified for further actions (either for correspondence with the taxpayer or input of an

examination indicator). The dollar thresholds applied and the actions taken differ depending on the IRS function that identified the missing documents.

Additionally, although the IRS places specific indicators to identify for the Examination function tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions over a specific threshold dollar amount but



with no Forms 8283, there is no indication these tax returns are being reviewed by the IRS Examination function. None of the tax returns in our samples had been examined.

IRS examination results indicate taxpayers are continuing to claim a significant amount of erroneous deductions for noncash charitable contributions. The IRS is in the process of or has completed at least eight compliance initiatives on noncash charitable contributions. As of April 2012, the IRS reported that it has closed 834 audits as part of these projects with total assessments of approximately \$671 million. One project involving the examination of 219 tax returns over a four-year period resulted in 28 percent of the examinations closed with no changes proposed to the tax returns. Seventy-two percent (158 of 219) of the cases were closed with assessments, which totaled in all more than \$5 million.

The IRS is not using the Form 8283 to more efficiently identify questionable noncash charitable contributions at the time the tax return is processed

Although the instructions on the Form 8283 require taxpayers to provide certain information about charitable contributions, the IRS does not capture information from the Form 8283 for paper-filed tax returns. It also does not use information it captures for e-filed tax returns to verify the accuracy of the charitable deduction at the time the tax return is filed.

Not capturing key information from the Form 8283 limits the IRS's ability to verify the accuracy of noncash charitable contributions; *i.e.*, it can only perform a manual review of documents. If the IRS captured key information from the Form 8283, it could improve its ability to identify questionable claims for noncash contributions at the time the tax return is filed.

Information contained on Form 8283 that could be used to identify questionable charitable donations and improve validation of noncash charitable contributions includes, for example:¹⁷

¹⁷ Most tax returns reviewed had more than one error.



<u>Revisions to the Form 8283 can also improve the IRS's ability to more efficiently identify</u> <u>questionable noncash charitable contributions</u>

The IRS instructs taxpayers claiming charitable contributions of \$500 to \$5,000 to put the date of contribution on Form 8283. The IRS does not require a contribution date for donations of more than \$5,000. Instead, the IRS requires the donee to sign and date the Form 8283 acknowledging the receipt of charitable contributions of more than \$5,000.

If a taxpayer claiming charitable contributions of more than \$5,000 submits his or her tax return on paper, the Form 8283 with the donee's signature is attached to the tax return and the IRS can use the acknowledgement to ensure the donation was made in the current tax year. However, a taxpayer who e-files his or her tax return must send the Form 8283 with the donee's signature to the IRS separately on paper to satisfy the IRS's requirement for original signatures. As a result, the donee's acknowledgement for e-filed tax returns is not available at the time the tax return is processed.

Because the IRS does not ask the taxpayer to provide the date of contribution for contributions of more than \$5,000, it must develop additional processes to ensure that the contribution was made in the current tax year. By revising the Form 8283 to require a date of contribution for all contributions, the IRS will be able to develop a single process to ensure that all contributions are eligible to be deducted in the tax year claimed.

Clarifying taxpayer guidance could reduce reporting errors

Some taxpayer reporting errors appear to be the result of taxpayer confusion. The Form 8283 instructs taxpayers to combine similar items of property donated to any organization during the tax year. However, the instructions are not clear that donations of like items are to be grouped together regardless of whether the donations were made to the same or different organizations. Of the 303 reporting errors identified, 129 (42.6 percent) involved taxpayers who did not combine like donations.

If the like donations had been grouped as required, these taxpayers would have been subjected to additional reporting requirements designed to ensure the eligibility of noncash contributions of



more than \$5,000. These additional reporting requirements include providing a written appraisal by a qualified appraiser, the signature of a qualified appraiser, and the signature of the qualified organization acknowledging receipt.

Form 8283 instructions also do not ensure the IRS has all the information it needs to verify the eligibility of donations of publicly and non-publicly traded securities. Form 8283 instructions state that taxpayers are to:

Describe the property in sufficient detail. The greater the value of the property, the more detail must be provided. For a vehicle, give the year, make, model, condition, and mileage at the time of the donation. For securities, include the name of the issuer, kind of security, whether it is a share of a mutual fund, and whether it's regularly traded on a stock exchange or in an over-the-counter market.

However, the instructions do not state that taxpayers should include the number of shares donated when claiming a deduction for publicly and non-publicly traded securities. Without the number of shares, the IRS has no basis to determine if the fair market value of the securities and the resulting deduction is overstated or understated.

Of the 507 Tax Year 2010 returns reviewed, 169 included donations of securities. Almost 22 percent (37 of 169) did not include the number of shares donated in the description of the securities. Further analysis found that nearly 18 percent (30 of 169) of tax returns overstated the value of the securities at the time of the donation.

The reliability of IRS data for noncash charitable contributions could be improved

Noncash charitable contribution data contained in the IRS's Individual Return Transaction File (IRTF)¹⁸ are not always accurate. We conducted basic reliability testing of the data contained on the IRTF as part of our evaluation of taxpayers' compliance with noncash contribution reporting requirements. We found the noncash contribution amounts recorded on the IRTF are not always accurate and the dollar amounts of some of the errors are substantial. For example, six tax accounts (two in Processing Year 2012 and four in Processing Year 2011) had noncash charitable contributions recorded on the IRTF that exceeded \$1 billion. However, the actual amount of noncash contributions claimed by the taxpayer appears to be significantly less than what is recorded in the IRTF. We estimate the amount of noncash contributions recorded for these six tax accounts is overstated by approximately \$88 billion.

Government Accountability Office *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government*¹⁹ state that agencies should develop application controls for information systems to help ensure the

¹⁸ IRS database that contains information originally filed by the taxpayer and transcribed from Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ and their accompanying forms and schedules.

¹⁹ Government Accountability Office (formerly known as the General Accounting Office), GAO/AIMD-00-21.3.1, *Internal Control: Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government* (Nov. 1999).



completeness, accuracy, and validity of transactions during computer application processing. The IRS has controls in place to identify tax returns where the sum of the charitable contributions reported on Lines 16 through 18 on Schedule A (Form 1040), *Itemized Deductions*, total contribution amount reported on Line 19 on the Schedule A. These controls should alert the IRS to taxpayer and IRS input errors in recording charitable contributions. IRS employees are to review the return and correct any transcription errors identified. However, it does not appear these corrections are being made.

Some taxpayers will likely continue to misreport noncash charitable contributions to reduce their tax liabilities unless the IRS takes steps to ensure taxpayers are complying with reporting requirements. Taxpayers who claim a deduction for noncash charitable contributions that were not made or that were overvalued are inappropriately reducing the amount of tax they must pay resulting in a loss of revenue to the Federal Government.

The IRS can significantly improve its ability to detect and prevent improper deductions for noncash charitable contributions by ensuring that all tax returns claiming noncash contributions of more than \$500 include a Form 8283 and a qualified appraisal, when required. The IRS can also improve its ability to identify questionable noncash contributions by capturing key information from the Form 8283 and using that information to validate the contribution at the time the tax return is filed. However, the IRS must ensure that the noncash contribution information captured is accurately reflected in its data systems. Without reliable charitable contribution at the processing and verification of noncash charitable contributions as well as efforts to improve the detection of questionable claims may be based on incomplete or inaccurate data.

Recommendations

The Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division, should:

Recommendation 1: Expand current processes to identify all tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions of more than \$500 that do not have a Form 8283 attached to the tax return. The processes should identify all tax returns claiming noncash contributions of more than \$500,000 for which a qualified appraisal has not been attached to the tax return. The IRS should request that the taxpayer provide the missing documentation before allowing a deduction for the noncash charitable contributions.

Management's Response: The IRS agreed with this recommendation. The IRS will expand its processes to ensure that it identifies tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions that do not have Form 8283 and/or a qualified appraisal attached, as required by applicable statutory thresholds. Correspondence will be initiated to obtain the missing documents from taxpayers before the applicable charitable contribution deduction is allowed.



Recommendation 2:	******	*********2(f)*****************
*****	**************************************	******
*****	**************************************	******
******	**********************************2(f)	*******************************

Recommendation 3: Revise the Form 8283 and related instructions to: 1) require taxpayers to include the contribution date in addition to the Donee Acknowledgment of Receipt for donations of noncash property of more than \$5,000; 2) clarify that taxpayers must group similar items and claim the aggregate value of the noncash contributions as deductions regardless of the number of different organizations to which the donations are made; and 3) require taxpayers to include the number of shares donated when reporting contributions of securities.

Management's Response: The IRS agreed with this recommendation. Form 8283 will be revised to require inclusion of the contribution date for donations of noncash property valued greater than \$5,000. Additionally, the form and its instructions will be revised to clarify the grouping and aggregation of similar items as well as require that the number of shares be reported for donations of securities.

Office of Audit Comment: Although the IRS agreed with our recommendation, IRS management did not agree with our \$1.1 billion estimate of the potential impact on tax administration of unsubstantiated claims for noncash charitable donations. IRS management stated that an unsubstantiated expense does not automatically equate to an unallowable expense. They also stated that TIGTA did not consider subsequent enforcement activity when computing the outcome measure. However, IRS examination results from compliance initiatives indicate that taxpayers continue to claim a significant amount of erroneous deductions for noncash charitable contributions. Furthermore, none of the tax returns TIGTA reviewed had indications of examination activity. As such, we believe the outcome measure of \$1.1 billion in potentially understated tax liabilities due to erroneous deductions for noncash charitable contributions accurately reflects the risk to tax administration associated with unsubstantiated donations.

Recommendation 4: Develop a process to verify the accuracy of noncash contribution amounts captured in IRS data systems from individual tax returns. The IRS should also verify the noncash contribution amounts recorded for the tax accounts that the TIGTA identified as being potentially erroneous and make corrections where warranted.



Management's Response: IRS management disagreed with this recommendation. They responded that data validation is performed as each tax return is transcribed into the IRS's automated systems. Procedures require transcribers to correct all input errors; however, in the case of the six accounts identified, the transcribers corrected only the total contributions deduction field. This permitted the affected returns to process with the correct amount of total deductions, taxable income, and tax liability assessed. The IRS has ascertained that each of the amounts is correctly recorded on the Master File, which is the official system of record.

Office of Audit Comment: Although we agree that total deductions, taxable income, and tax liability assessed are correctly recorded on the Master File, the Master File does not include information on the portion of total deductions related to noncash charitable contributions. The IRS relies on the information recorded for noncash contributions in the IRTF when evaluating the effectiveness of its noncash contribution compliance activities. Tests showed that noncash charitable contribution data contained in the IRS's IRTF are not always accurate. Therefore, the IRS should take the steps necessary to ensure the information contained in the IRTF accurately reflects the information provided by the taxpayer on his or her tax return.

Better Controls Are Needed to Ensure Donations of Motor Vehicles Are Appropriately Reported

Test results show that as of December 31, 2011, 89,772 taxpayers had claimed donations of motor vehicles totaling \$242.5 million on their Tax Year 2010 returns. Approximately 42 percent (37,554 of 89,772) of the tax returns with Copy B of the Form 1098-C attached did not have a supporting Copy A of Form 1098-C filed with the IRS by the donee or the value of the donation claimed did not agree with IRS records.

The IRS instructs donee organizations to furnish Copies B and C of Form 1098-C to the donor, generally no later than 30 days after the date of sale of the donated vehicle. The donee should file Copy A of Form 1098-C with the IRS by February 28, 2013, if submitting on paper, and by April 1, 2013, if submitting electronically.

Taxpayers claiming donations of motor vehicles are required to attach Copy B of the Form 1098-C and Form 8283 to their tax returns in order to receive the deduction. IRS instructions also state:

Filing deadline approaching and still no Form 1098-C. If the filing deadline is approaching and you still do not have a Form 1098-C, you have two choices.

1. Request an automatic 6-month extension of time to file your return. You can get this extension by filing Form 4868, Application for Automatic Extension of Time



To File U.S. Individual Income Tax Return. For more information, see the instructions for Form 4868.

2. File the return on time without claiming the deduction for the qualified vehicle. After receiving the Form 1098-C, file an amended return, Form 1040X, Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, claiming the deduction. Attach Copy B of Form 1098-C (or other statement) to the amended return.

The IRS has not established processes to ensure donors or donees are complying with reporting requirements. In September 2009, we reported that taxpayers were not attaching Form 1098-C when claiming donations of motor vehicles.²⁰ We recommended the IRS develop procedures to capture the information on the Form 1098-C and match the information reported by charities on Forms 1098-C with the information reported on taxpayers' tax returns. The IRS disagreed with this recommendation.

A match of the Forms 1098-C filed by charitable organizations to Tax Year 2010 returns with Forms 1098-C attached to support donations of motor vehicles identified:

- 35,846 tax returns had motor vehicle donations claimed as deductions totaling approximately \$77 million, but the IRS shows no record of receiving a Form 1098-C from the charitable organization. The unsupported donations could result in a potential loss of tax revenue of approximately \$17 million.
- 1,708 tax returns reported donations for which the fair market values exceeded the sale proceeds by \$2.2 million. These overvalued donations could result in a potential loss of tax revenue of approximately \$456,000.

While the IRS captures information from Forms 1098-C filed electronically by taxpayers, it does not transcribe the Form 1098-C for paper tax returns and does not match the taxpayer's Copy B of Form 1098-C to the taxpayer's Form 8283. This would allow the IRS to identify questionable donations of motor vehicles by taxpayers.

The IRS also does not match the taxpayer's Copy B of the Form 1098-C to Copy A filed by the charitable organization. Matching the information reported by charities on Forms 1098-C with the information on taxpayers' tax returns would help identify charities that do not provide the required documentation to donors and to the IRS.

Recommendations

The Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division, should:

²⁰ TIGTA, Ref. No. 2009-30-116, *Procedures to Address Noncompliance with the Reporting Requirements for Contributions of Motor Vehicles Continue to be Inadequate* (Sept. 2009).



Recommendation 5: Match Copy B of Forms 1098-C submitted by taxpayers with their tax returns to the Forms 1098-C filed by charitable organizations to identify taxpayers who are claiming motor vehicle donations for more than the value reported by the charitable organization to identify questionable claims for motor vehicle contributions and take appropriate action to adjust the taxpayer's deduction for noncash charitable contributions accordingly.

Office of Audit Comment: Our recommendation to match Copies A and B of the Form 1098-C is consistent with the IRS's Information Reporting and Document Matching program. In this program, the IRS matches copies of similar information reporting documents to help increase voluntary compliance and improve collections.

In addition, the IRS stated that it is unable to determine the amount of noncash charitable donations claimed on Schedule A that is attributable to motor vehicle donations. Taxpayers must file a Form 8283 in addition to the Schedule A when claiming a motor vehicle donation. In their response to Recommendation 2 of this report, IRS management agreed to transcribe information from the Form 8283. This will enable the IRS to identify taxpayers who donate motor vehicles and match Copies A and B of Form 1098-C to ensure taxpayers' claims for motor vehicle donations are substantiated by a charitable organization and the value of the donations claimed is accurate.

<u>Recommendation 6</u>: Coordinate with the Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division, to develop a process to identify charitable organizations that did not file a Form 1098-C for a motor vehicle donation as required and take appropriate action to ensure the organization is in compliance.

Management's Response: The IRS partially agreed with this recommendation. It responded that the Tax Exempt and the Government Entities Division has a vigorous outreach program in place to advise donor organizations of IRS filing requirements. It will continue to monitor whether program enhancements are needed.



Appendix I

Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our overall objective was to assess IRS actions to ensure taxpayers are complying with the reporting requirements for claiming noncash charitable contributions. To accomplish our objective, we:

- I. Determined whether the IRS implemented appropriate corrective actions to address recommendations made in prior TIGTA reports.
 - A. Determined the status of the corrective actions from prior TIGTA reviews.
 - 1. Determined whether the IRS used math error authority to deny claims for noncash charitable contributions by identifying tax returns claiming motor vehicles that do not have the required supporting documentation attached.
 - 2. Determined whether the IRS corresponded with taxpayers who claimed noncash charitable contributions greater than \$50,000.
 - 3. Determined whether the IRS supplemented its outreach plan by promoting awareness of increased reporting requirements and responsibilities.
- II. Determined whether the IRS has processes to ensure individuals claiming noncash charitable contributions complied with the reporting requirements.
 - A. Reviewed guidelines, interviewed management, and determined the reporting requirements for noncash charitable contributions.
 - B. Reviewed Form 8283, *Noncash Charitable Contributions*, to assess the usefulness of the information requested from the taxpayer to identify noncompliance.
 - C. Determined whether the IRS captured reporting requirements information to ensure individuals complied with these requirements.
 - D. Determined whether the IRS used reporting requirements information to identify and stop potential erroneous noncash charitable contributions.
- III. Assessed the effectiveness of IRS processes and procedures to identify questionable noncash charitable contributions.
 - A. Selected a statistically valid sample of 150 e-filed tax returns with noncash charitable contributions between \$5,000 and \$500,000 from a population of 276,942 e-filed tax



returns in Tax Year¹ 2010 and determined whether taxpayers complied with the reporting requirements. We used attribute sampling to calculate the minimum sample size.

$n = (Z^{2}p(1-p))/(A^{2}+(Z^{2}p(1-p)/N))$	
Z = Confidence Level:	90 percent (expressed as 1.645 standard deviation)
p = Expected Rate of Occurrence	5 percent
A = Precision Rate:	±3 percent
N = Population:	276,942

- B. Using the statistical sample in Step III.A., determined whether individuals complied with filing and documentation requirements.
 - 1. Identified all individuals who claimed noncash contributions but did not submit a Form 8453, U.S. Individual Income Tax Transmittal for an IRS e-file Return.
 - 2. Determined whether Form 8283 was signed by the appraiser and donee.
- C. Selected a statistically valid sample of 130 e-filed tax returns with noncash contributions more than \$500,000 from a population of 857 e-filed tax returns in Tax Year 2010 and determined whether taxpayers complied with the reporting requirements. We used attribute sampling to calculate the minimum sample size.

$n = (Z^2p(1-p))/(A^2+(Z^2p(1-p)/N))$	
Z = Confidence Level:	90 percent (expressed as 1.645 standard deviation)
p = Expected Rate of Occurrence:	5 percent
A = Precision Rate:	±3 percent
N = Population:	857

- D. Using the statistical sample in Step III.C., determined whether individuals complied with filing and documentation requirements.
 - 1. Identified all individuals who claimed noncash contributions but did not submit a Form 8453.
 - 2. Determined whether Forms 8283 were signed by the appraiser and donee.
 - 3. Determined whether an appraisal was attached to the tax return.
- E. Selected a statistically valid sample of 150 paper-filed tax returns² with noncash contributions between \$5,000 and \$500,000 from a population of 85,034 paper-filed

¹ A 12-month accounting period for keeping records on income and expenses used as the basis for calculating the annual taxes due. For most individual taxpayers, the tax year is synonymous with the calendar year.

 $^{^{2}}$ We reviewed 137 of the 150 tax returns. The remaining 13 tax returns were unavailable for review because the returns were not received when requested or had been assigned to an IRS employee for action.



tax returns in Tax Year 2010 and determined whether taxpayers complied with the reporting requirements. We used attribute sampling to calculate the minimum sample size.

$n = (Z^{2}p(1-p))/(A^{2}+(Z^{2}p(1-p)/N))$	
Z = Confidence Level:	90 percent (expressed as 1.645 standard deviation)
p = Expected Rate of Occurrence:	5 percent
A = Precision Rate:	±3 percent
N = Population:	85,034

- F. Using the statistical sample in Step III.D., determined whether individuals complied with filing and documentation requirements, by determining whether Forms 8283 were signed by the appraiser and donee when an item was valued greater than \$5,000.
- G. Selected a statistically valid sample of 120 paper-filed tax returns³ with noncash contributions of more than \$500,000 from a population of 516 paper-filed tax returns in Tax Year 2010 and determined whether taxpayers were complying with the reporting requirements. We used attribute sampling to calculate the minimum sample size.

$N = (Z^{2}p(1-p))/(A^{2}+(Z^{2}p(1-p)/N))$	
Z = Confidence Level:	90 percent (expressed as 1.645 standard deviation)
p = Expected Rate of Occurrence:	5 percent
A = Precision Rate:	±3 percent
N = Population:	516

- H. Using the statistical sample in Step III.G., determined whether individuals complied with filing and documentation requirements.
 - 1. Determined whether Forms 8283 were signed by the appraiser and donee.
 - 2. Determined whether an appraisal was attached to the tax return.
- IV. Assessed the effectiveness of IRS processes and procedures to identify erroneous noncash charitable contribution claims for donated vehicles.
 - 1. Reviewed guidelines and determined the reporting requirements for Form 1098-C, *Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes.*
 - 2. Determined whether the IRS captured and used information provided by charitable organizations to validate 1098-C information reported on tax returns.

³ We reviewed 90 of the 120 tax returns. The remaining 30 tax returns were unavailable for review because the returns were not received when requested, had been assigned to an IRS employee, or did not meet our sample criteria.



- 3. Matched the Information Return Processing System data to the information on the taxpayer's tax return and identified 1098-C discrepancies between what the organization reported to the IRS and what the taxpayer claimed on his or her tax return.
- V. Determined whether the IRS has compliance programs to identify tax returns with questionable noncash charitable contributions.
 - 1. Reviewed guidelines and determined how the IRS monitors reporting compliance for noncash contributions.
 - 2. Reviewed available documentation and assessed the effectiveness of compliance activities.
 - 3. Determined whether the IRS has any specific compliance initiatives or other projects for assessing noncash charitable contributions and their results.

Internal controls methodology

Internal controls relate to management's plans, methods, and procedures used to meet their mission, goals, and objectives. Internal controls include the processes and procedures for planning, organizing, directing, and controlling program operations. They include the systems for measuring, reporting, and monitoring program performance. We determined the following internal controls were relevant to our audit objective: the processes to ensure individuals claiming noncash charitable contributions complied with reporting requirements. We evaluated the controls by reviewing applicable documentation to identify questionable noncash charitable contributions and stop erroneous noncash charitable contribution claims. The TIGTA's contract statistician assisted with developing projections of potentially unsubstantiated claims for deductions of noncash charitable contributions.



Appendix II

Major Contributors to This Report

Augusta R. Cook, Acting Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Returns Processing and Account Services) Russell P. Martin, Director Deann Baiza, Acting Director Edward Gorman, Audit Manager Kimberly Parmley, Audit Manager Sandra L. Hinton, Senior Auditor Lawrence Smith, Senior Auditor Stephen Elix, Auditor Denise Gladson, Auditor Marcus Sloan, Auditor



Appendix III

Report Distribution List

Commissioner C Office of the Commissioner – Attn: Chief of Staff C Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement SE Commissioner, Small Business/Self-Employed Division SE:S Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division SE:T Deputy Commissioner, Services and Operations, Wage and Investment Division SE:W Chief Counsel CC National Taxpayer Advocate TA Director, Office of Legislative Affairs CL:LA Director, Office Program Evaluation and Risk Analysis RAS:O Office of Internal Control OS:CFO:CPIC:IC Audit Liaisons: Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement SE Commissioner, Small Business/Self-Employed Division SE:S Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division SE:T Chief, Program Evaluation and Improvement, Wage and Investment Division SE:W:S:PEI



Appendix IV

Outcome Measures

This appendix presents detailed information on the measurable impact that our recommended corrective actions will have on tax administration. These benefits will be incorporated into our Semiannual Report to Congress.

Type and Value of Outcome Measure:

• Revenue Protection – Potential; \$1,094,559,542 in potential tax revenue loss to the Federal Government as a result of 273,704 taxpayers claiming deductions for unsubstantiated noncash charitable donations (see page 5).

Methodology Used to Measure the Reported Benefit:

We conducted a review of four statistically valid samples of Tax Year¹ 2010 returns with noncash charitable contribution claims of more than \$5,000. We used attribute sampling to calculate the minimum sample size.

$n = (Z^2p(1-p))/(A^2+(Z^2p(1-p)/N))$	
Z = Confidence Level:	90 percent (expressed as 1.645 standard deviation)
p = Expected Rate of Occurrence:	5 percent
A = Precision Rate:	±3 percent

Figure 1 presents the results of our review.

Figure 1: Review of Noncash Charitable Contributions

Type of Review	Population of Tax Returns	Number of Tax Returns Reviewed	Number of Tax Returns With Compliance Issues
E-filed returns with noncash contributions between \$5,000 and \$500,000	276,942	150	115 77% Error Rate
E-filed returns with noncash contributions more than \$500,000	857	130	53 41% Error Rate

¹ A 12-month accounting period for keeping records on income and expenses used as the basis for calculating the annual taxes due. For most individual taxpayers, the tax year is synonymous with the calendar year.



Type of Review	Population of Tax Returns	Number of Tax Returns Reviewed	Number of Tax Returns With Compliance Issues
Paper-filed returns with noncash contributions between \$5,000 and \$500,000	85,034	137	98 72% Error Rate
Paper-filed returns with noncash contributions more than \$500,000	516	90	37 41% Error Rate

Source: TIGTA review of statistically valid samples.

We projected the results of our statistical sample review results using a 95 percent confidence level to estimate the potential number of taxpayers who did not comply with reporting requirements for noncash charitable contributions in Tax Year 2010. Figure 2 shows the details of our sample projections.

Figure 2: Projection of Questionable Noncash Charitable Contributions

	_				roneous Noncas			
	Potential	Number of T	axpayers	Contributions Claimed				
Type of Review	Lower Limit	Point Estimate	Upper Limit	Lower Limit	Point Estimate	Upper Limit		
E-filed between \$5,000 and \$500,000	193,520	212,322	231,125	\$1,671,205,815	\$1,980,866,427	\$2,290,527,038		
E-filed more than\$500,000	276	343	410	\$327,304,028	\$504,373,322	\$681,442,615		
Paper-filed between \$5,000 and \$500,000	54,383	60,827	67,271	\$425,623,456	\$731,046,116	\$1,036,468,776		
Paper-filed more than \$500,000	164	212	260	\$265,908,176	\$623,198,169	\$980,488,161		
Totals	248,343	273,704	299,066	\$2,690,041,475	\$3,839,484,034	\$4,988,926,590		



Source: Joseph L. Katz, Ph.D., Contractor, Statistical Sampling Consultant.

We computed the total number of taxpayers who did not comply with the noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements and questionable charitable contributions by summing the statistical projections for each of our samples. We computed the estimated tax revenue lost as a result of taxpayers' noncompliance using the following steps for each of our four statistical samples.

- 1. Computed the average Taxable Income (Line 43 of Form 1040, U.S. Individual Income Tax Return) reported on the exception cases.
- 2. Determined the most frequent Filing Status.
- 3. Identified the marginal tax rate applicable for the average Taxable Income and most frequently used Filing Status using the tax rate tables.
- 4. Multiplied the projected questionable noncash charitable contributions claimed by the applicable marginal tax rate.

We then summed the estimated tax revenue lost for each of our samples to compute the total tax revenue we estimate the IRS lost as a result of taxpayers' noncompliance with noncash charitable contribution reporting requirements. Figure 3 presents the details of our estimate of lost tax revenue.

Figure 3: Estimated Tax Revenue Lost From Noncash Charitable Contributions

Type of Review	Average Taxable Income	Most Frequent Filing Status	Marginal Tax Rate	Estimated Lost Tax Revenue ²
E-filed between \$5,000 and \$500,000	\$107,708	2 ³	25%	\$495,216,607
E-filed more than \$500,000	\$5,440,249	2	35%	\$176,530,663
Paper-filed between \$5,000 and \$500,000	\$146,418	2	28%	\$204,692,913
Paper-filed more than \$500,000	\$3,233,145	2	35%	\$218,119,359
Total	_	_	_	\$1,094,559,542

Source: TIGTA analysis of statistically valid samples.

² Rounded to the nearest whole dollar.

³ Filing Status 2 – Married Filing Jointly.



Type and Value of Outcome Measure:

• Reliability of Information – Potential; \$87,966,530,511 in noncash charitable contributions that are incorrectly recorded in the IRS's IRTF⁴ (see page 5).

Methodology Used to Measure the Reported Benefit:

We conducted basic reliability testing of the data contained in the IRS's IRTF as part of our evaluation of taxpayers' compliance with noncash contribution reporting requirements. We identified six tax accounts, four in Processing Year⁵ 2011 and two in Processing Year 2012, where the IRTF shows noncash charitable contributions of more than \$1 billion claimed by the taxpayer. To determine if the amount recorded for noncash contributions was valid, we compared the sum of cash, noncash, and prior year carryover contributions recorded in the IRTF to the total amount of contributions recorded for each of these six tax accounts. Our analysis showed that in all six tax accounts, the amount of noncash contributions significantly exceeds total contributions recorded in the IRTF. Further research of these six tax accounts on the Integrated Data Retrieval System⁶ shows the amount of noncash contributions recorded in the IRTF may be overstated by \$87,966,530,511.

Type and Value of Outcome Measure:

• Revenue Protection – Potential; \$16,635,985 in potential tax revenue loss to the Federal Government as a result of 35,846 taxpayers claiming deductions for unsubstantiated donations of motor vehicles (see page 13).

Methodology Used to Measure the Reported Benefit:

We identified 89,772 Tax Year 2010 returns on the IRS Tax Return Database⁷ that claimed a noncash charitable deduction of at least \$500 and had a Form 1098-C, *Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes*, attached to the tax return. We matched the 89,772 tax returns to third-party information documents on the IRS Information Returns Master File⁸ to determine if the charitable organization had filed a Form 1098-C with the IRS as required. Our analysis identified 35,846 tax returns claiming deductions for 36,397 donations where the charitable organization had not filed a Form 1098-C with the IRS for the donation. The fair market values claimed for the 36,397 donations totaled \$77,169,104.

⁴ IRS database that contains information originally filed by the taxpayer and transcribed from Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ and their accompanying forms and schedules.

⁵ The calendar year in which the tax return or document is processed by the IRS.

⁶ Computer application consisting of databases and operating programs that support IRS employees working active tax cases within each business function across the entire IRS.

⁷ A relational database that stores tax return information submitted by the taxpayer.

⁸ A database containing all information returns transcribed by the IRS.



We computed the net tax effect of the unsupported motor vehicle donations as follows:

- 1. Computed the marginal tax rate applicable to each of the 35,846 tax returns using the tax return information available in the IRTF.
- 2. Applied the marginal tax rate computed for each of the 35,846 tax returns to the amount claimed for motor vehicle donations on each tax return.
- 3. Computed the total net tax effect for the 35,846 tax returns by summing the net tax effect computed on each tax return.

Type and Value of Outcome Measure:

• Revenue Protection – Potential; \$456,201 in potential tax revenue loss to the Federal Government as a result of 1,708 taxpayers claiming fair market values for donations of motor vehicles in excess of the sales proceeds realized by the charitable organization (see page 13).

Methodology Used to Measure the Reported Benefit:

We identified 89,772 Tax Year 2010 returns on the IRS Tax Return Database that claimed a noncash charitable deduction of at least \$500 and had a Form 1098-C attached to the tax return. We matched the 89,772 tax returns to third-party information documents on the IRS Information Returns Master File to determine if the charitable organization had filed a Form 1098-C with the IRS as required. Our analysis identified 37,573 tax returns where IRS data indicated the charitable organization had filed a Form 1098-C.

We then matched the value of the donation claimed on the tax return to the sale proceeds reported by the charitable organization on Form 1098-C. Our analysis identified 1,708 taxpayers who claimed a fair market value for the motor vehicle that was in excess of the sale proceeds reported by the charitable organization. The fair market value of the donations claimed exceeded the sale proceeds by \$2,224,572.

We computed the net tax effect of the overvalued donations as follows:

- 1. Computed the marginal tax rate applicable to each of the 1,708 tax returns using the tax return information available in the Tax Return Data Base.
- 2. Applied the marginal tax rate computed for each of the 1,708 tax returns to the amount claimed for motor vehicle donations on each tax return.
- 3. Computed the total net tax effect for the 1,708 tax returns by summing the net tax effect computed on each tax return.



Appendix V

Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration Reports on Compliance With Noncash Charitable Contribution Reporting Requirements

Report Number	Findings	Recommendations	Corrective Actions
	Taxpayers are not complying with requirements for claiming motor vehicles as charitable contribution deductions.	Ensure tax returns without required substantiation for charitable contributions of motor vehicles are processed pursuant to math error authority.	The IRS agreed to use math error authority to deny claims for charitable contributions of motor vehicles when the supporting documentation is not submitted with the return.
2009-30-116 ¹	IRS procedures are inadequate to ensure taxpayers meet the requirements for deducting charitable contributions of	Ensure all Forms 1098-C ² are transcribed and develop procedures to match the information reported by charities on Forms 1098-C with the information reported on taxpayers' returns.	The IRS disagreed that matching the information reported by charities on Form 1098-C with the information on taxpayers' tax returns would yield good results.
	motor vehicles.	Use outreach to ensure that charities are filing Form 1098-C with the IRS for each donated motor vehicle more than \$500.	The IRS disagreed that outreach, educational material, and other methods were needed.
	Taxpayers and tax practitioners need to be better educated concerning requirements for claiming charitable contributions.	Develop a comprehensive outreach plan.	The IRS agreed to supplement its outreach plan by promoting awareness of increased reporting requirements and responsibilities.
2007-30-049 ³	Procedures need to be established to identify noncompliance with charitable contribution requirements during returns processing.	Develop procedures to address returns without required substantiation for noncash charitable contributions.	The IRS partially agreed and will correspond with taxpayers claiming a noncash contribution more than \$50,000.

¹ TIGTA, Ref. No. 2009-30-116, Procedures to Address Noncompliance With the Reporting Requirements for

Contributions of Motor Vehicles Continue to Be Inadequate (Sept. 2009).

² Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes.

³ TIGTA, Ref. No. 2007-30-049, The Internal Revenue Service Needs to Improve Procedures to Identify Noncompliance With the Reporting Requirements for Noncash Charitable Contributions (Mar. 2007).



Report Number	Findings	Recommendations	Corrective Actions
	Taxpayers and tax practitioners need to be better educated concerning requirements for claiming motor vehicle donations.	The IRS should coordinate with the other responsible operating divisions to develop a comprehensive outreach plan on the reporting requirements for motor vehicle donations for affected taxpayers and tax practitioners.	The IRS did not agree that a comprehensive outreach plan is needed at this time. The IRS believes the timing of the audit contributed to the issues noted in the report because the scope included returns filed during the first year in which the reporting requirements took effect.
2007-30-171 ⁴	Additional procedures need to be established to identify noncompliance with motor vehicle donation requirements during returns processing.	The IRS should take the following actions to address returns without required substantiation for charitable contributions of motor vehicles: a) lower the dollar threshold to ensure most of the returns claiming unsubstantiated deductions are addressed; b) correspond with taxpayers to obtain missing Forms 8283 ⁵ and supporting documentation; c) input a specific audit code on tax returns that fail to provide missing Forms and substantiation to alert the Examination function of returns without required substantiation for charitable contributions of motor vehicles.	At the time of the report, the IRS did not agree to lower the dollar threshold. As agreed in its response to the prior audit, the Submission Processing function will continue to correspond with taxpayers claiming a noncash charitable contribution greater than a specific threshold dollar amount and missing Forms 8283. As agreed in its response to the prior audit, in January 2008, the Submission Processing function will begin assigning an audit indicator to returns claiming a charitable contribution of donated vehicles greater than a specific threshold dollar amount and missing Forms 8283.

Source: Prior TIGTA audit reports.

⁴ TIGTA, Ref. No. 2007-30-171, Improved Procedures Are Needed to Identify Noncompliance With the Reporting Requirements for Contributions of Motor Vehicles (Sept. 2007).

⁵ Noncash Charitable Contributions.



Appendix VI

Analysis of Statistically Valid Samples From Tax Year 2010 Returns With Noncash Charitable Contributions Claimed As Deductions

Type of Tax Return Sampled	E-Filed \$5,000 to \$500,000	E-Filed More Than \$500,000	Paper-Filed \$5,000 to \$500,000	Paper-Filed More Than \$500,000
Number of Returns Reviewed	150	130	137	90
Total Noncash Contributions Claimed As Deductions	\$2.0 million	\$162.8 million	\$3.2 million	\$175.2 million
Number of Returns With Errors	115	53	98	37
Error Rate	77%	41%	72%	41%
Erroneous Contributions Claimed	\$1.1 million	\$77.3 million	\$1.6 million	\$121.6 million
Estimated Number of Individuals Claiming Erroneous Contributions	212,322	343	60,827	212
Estimated Erroneous Contributions Claimed	\$2.0 billion	\$504.4 million	\$731.0 million	\$623.2 million

Types of Err	ors (some tax	returns have m	ultiple errors)	
Missing Forms 8283 ¹	0	0	10	0
Missing Forms 1098-C ²	1	0	5	1
Missing Appraisal	0	18	0	20
Unclear Valuation Method	23	0	0	0
Incomplete Donee Acknowledgement	32	18	9	6
Incomplete Declaration of Appraisal	0	18	13	6

¹Noncash Charitable Contributions.

² Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes.



	E-Filed \$5,000 to	E-Filed More Than	Paper-Filed \$5,000 to	Paper-Filed
Type of Tax Return Sampled	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	More Than \$500,000
Incorrectly Reported Items on Section A of Form 8283	72	4	57	6
Unclear Description of Property	9	17	8	12
Missing Contribution Dates or Donee Dates of Receipt	39	8	17	3
Overstated the Value of the Property	14	8	6	4

Source: TIGTA analysis of statistically valid samples.



Appendix VII

Form 8283, Noncash Charitable Contributions

Form	8283	Noncash Charitable Contributions						OMB No. 1545-0908		
Depart	December 2006) ment of the Treasury I Revenue Service	 Attach to your tax return if you claimed a total deduction of over \$500 for all contributed property. See separate instructions. 							Attachme Sequence	ent e No. 155
	(s) shown on your incor								Identifying	number
-			,000 or Less ar ar items) for wh	nd Certa	claimed	icly Traded a deduction	Sector of	u <mark>rities—</mark> Li \$5,000 or	st in this se less. Also,	ection only
Par	t I Informati	on on Donated	Property-If yo	ou need	more sp	bace, attach	a sta	atement.		
1		(a) Name and addres donee organiza			(For a do	onated vehicle, e	nter the	on of donated year, make, n orm 1098-C if	nodel, condition	, and mileage,
Α										
В										
С										
D					-					
E										
Note	(c) Date of the	(d) Date acquired	(e) How acquired	(f) Don	or's cost	(g) Fair market	value	(h) Met	hod used to de	termine
A	contribution	by donor (mo., yr.)	by donor	or adjus	ted basis	(see Instructi	ons)	the	e fair market va	lue
В										
С										
D										
Par	entire inte	terests and Rea erest in a proper on listed in Part	ty listed in Part	I. Com	olete line	es 3a throug	gh 3c	if condition		
2a	Enter the letter fro	om Part I that iden o more than one p					an en	tire interest	•	
	Total amount clain	ss of each organiz	ation to which an			(2) For any	prior t	ax years	complete onl	y if different
	Name of charitable org	rganization above) ganization (donee)	:							
	Address (number, stree	et, and room or suite n	o.)							
	City or town, state, an	state, and ZIP code								
	For tangible prop Name of any pers						of the	property ►	•	
3a	Is there a restrict property?	ion, either tempoi	ary or permanen	t, on the	donee's	right to use	or di	spose of th	e donated	Yes No
	Did you give to an organization in co the property, inclu	operative fundraisi uding the right to v person having such	ng) the right to the ote donated secu i income, possess	e income irities, to sion, or rig	from the acquire t ght to ac	donated prop he property t quire?	perty c	or to the pos	ssession of	
	aperwork Reductio					Cat. No. 62299	J	- 10 - 17 - 17	Form 8283	(Rev. 12-2006)



orm Name	e(s) shown on your l	income tax return								Identify	ing numbe	er
ect	items)	for which you claim	ed a deductio	on of mor	ublicly Traded Secu e than \$5,000 per ite generally required to	m or gr	oup (excep	ot contrib	utions	of certai	in publicly	
Dai			,		be completed by							
1	a second as a second as a second as	that describes the ty	and a second second		the second se		unipu) oi	ana or		pprotect		
		ution of \$20,000 or	Charles A C	-	Qualified Conservati	on Con	tribution] Equipn	nent	
		ution of less than \$2			Other Real Estate				E	Securit	ties	
	Collectibles*	•			Intellectual Property					Other		
ner	similar objects.				antiques, decorative arts abilia, dolls, etc., but not				anuscri	ots, historio	cal memora	bilia, ar
					he property. See inst			554		3.		
5		of donated property (if attach a separate state			gible property was dona ohysical condition of the						Appraised market valu	
1												
3										_		_
										_		-
-	(d) Date acquired	(a) How acquired	(f) Doner's	e cost or	(a) For bargain cala	s optor			See i	nstruction	IS	
	by donor (mo., yr.)	(e) How acquired by donor	(f) Donor's adjusted		(g) For bargain sale amount receiv		(h) Amour de	nt claimed		(i) Avera	age trading f securities	price
3							sé.		-			
2												
_												
2					-							
ec	a valu	ue of \$500 or less owing item(s) include	s. See instr d in Part I ab	uctions.	the best of my know ecific item. See instru	vledge	and belief a					
dec er i gna	a valu lare that the follo item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer	ue of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ►	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri	uctions.	o the best of my know	vledge	and belief a	an appra				
dec er i gna	a valu lare that the follo item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer rt III Decla	ue of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser	ove has to	o the best of my know ecific item. See instru	vledge ictions.	and belief a	an appra D	ised va	alue of no	ot more th	an \$50
dec ber i igna Par	a value a value a value at the follocitem). Enter ident at the follocitem of taxpayer time of taxpayer are that I am not the ad to any person who	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser rty to the transa	ove has to be the sp ction in whi	o the best of my know	vledge ictions.	and belief a	an appra D by, or rela	ised va	alue of no	ot more th	an \$50 ersons,
gna ecliarrie opra so, opra lue. e pisult	a valu lare that the folic item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the d to any person wh issals during my tax I declare that I holo issal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. I myself out to the publo o make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fn n 6701(a) (aiding and al aid of the value of the pro-	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai e type of proper audulent overst betting the und operty that I knc	ction in whi core has to be the sp ction in whi cons. And, if iser or perfit ty being val tatement of erstatement w, or reasc	the best of my know ecific item. See instru	vledge actions. e proper nor, don llar basis raisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be use	ty, employed ee, or party to s; and that b swere not to n the qualifie iderstand that din connect	by, or related to the transference of the tran	ised va late > tted to a saction, my qua percen al or this antial or return of	alue of no iny of the for l performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross value r claim for r claim for	oregoing pe d the majo as describ appraised 3 may subj uation miss refund, ma	an \$50 ersons, rity of n ed in th proper ect me stateme
gna ecliarrie pra so, pra lue. solue. solue. solue. solue. solue. solue. solue. solue. solue.	a valu dare that the folic item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the d to any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under s	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. I myself out to the publo o make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fn n 6701(a) (aiding and al aid of the value of the pro-	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai e type of proper audulent overst betting the und operty that I knc	ction in whi core has to be the sp ction in whi cons. And, if iser or perfit ty being val tatement of erstatement w, or reasc	the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do prm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the ap the property value as de t of tax liability. In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence	vledge actions. e proper nor, don llar basis raisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be use	and belief a	by, or relation of the transference of the tra	ised va late > tted to a saction, my qua percen al or this antial or return of	alue of no iny of the for l performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross value r claim for r claim for	oregoing pe d the majo as describ appraised 3 may subj uation miss refund, ma	an \$50 ersons, rity of n ed in th proper ect me stateme
deci er i gna ecla arrie pra so, pra lue. e po sult e to ign	a valu dare that the folic item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the ad to any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section and e Signature •	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. I myself out to the pub o make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fin 6701(a) (aiding and al sal of the value of the pr section 6695A. I affirm the	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai e type of proper audulent overst betting the und operty that I knc	ction in whi core has to be the sp ction in whi cons. And, if iser or perfit ty being val tatement of erstatement w, or reasc	the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do orm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the app the property value as de t of tax liability). In addi nably should know, wou	vledge actions. e proper nor, don llar basis raisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be use	and belief a	by, or related to the transference of the tran	ised va late > tted to a saction, my qua percen al or this antial or return of	alue of no iny of the fe I performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross vala r claim for ional Resp	oregoing p dd the majo as descrit a papraised 3 may subj uation miss refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50 ersons, rity of n proper ect me stateme y subje
gna er i gna ecla arrie pra so, pra lue. e po sult e to ign gna	a valu dare that the follo item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer rt III Decla are that I am not the ed to any person wh isals during my tax are that I am not the dot any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified to Sal and the apprais the penalty under section of from the apprais the penalty under section e Signature ►	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. I myself out to the pub o make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fn n 6701(a) (aiding and al sal of the value of the priv section 6695A. I affirm the ling room or suite no.)	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai e type of proper audulent overst betting the und operty that I knc	ction in whi core has to be the sp ction in whi cons. And, if iser or perfit ty being val tatement of erstatement w, or reasc	the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do prm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the ap the property value as de t of tax liability. In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence	vledge actions. e proper nor, don llar basis raisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be use	and belief a	by, or relation of the transference of the tra	ised va late > tted to a saction, my qua percen al or this antial or return of	alue of no iny of the fe I performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross vala r claim for ional Resp	oregoing pe d the majo as describ appraised 3 may subj uation miss refund, ma	an \$50 ersons, rity of n proper ect me stateme y subje
er i gna er i gna er i gna er i gna er i gna er i gna er i gna er i gna er i so, e pra so, e pra i so, er i i so, er i i so, er i i so, er i i so, er i so i so, er i so, er i so i so, er i so i so i so i so i so i so i so i s	a valu dare that the folio item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the do any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais ess address (includ	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. d myself out to the pub o make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fin 6701(a) (aiding and al sal of the value of the pro- section 6695Å. I affirm the Ing room or suite no.) ZIP code	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai type of proper audulent overst betting the und operty that I knc hat I have not b	uctions. ove has to be the sp ction in whi ions. And, i iser or perfit ty being val aterment of erstatemen ow, or reasc een barred	b the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do prm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the ap the property value as de t of tax liability). In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence Title ►	vledge ictions. e proper nor, don ilar basis raisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be uss e or test	And belief a	D by, or relation to the trans- eccause of pased on a d appraise at a subst- ion with a office of Date ►	ised va late > tted to a saction, my qua percen al or this antial or return of	alue of no iny of the fe I performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross vala r claim for ional Resp	oregoing p dd the majo as descrit a papraised 3 may subj uation miss refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50 ersons, rity of n proper ect me stateme y subje
ecliarrie gna ecliarrie pra so, pra lue. e po sult e to igi	a valu dare that the folio item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the do any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais ess address (includ	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. d myself out to the pub o make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fin 6701(a) (aiding and al sal of the value of the pro- section 6695Å. I affirm the Ing room or suite no.) ZIP code	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai type of proper audulent overst betting the und operty that I kno hat I have not b	uctions. ove has to be the sp ction in whi ions. And, i iser or perfit ty being val aterment of erstatemen ow, or reasc een barred	the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do prm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the ap the property value as de t of tax liability. In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence	vledge ictions. e proper nor, don ilar basis raisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be uss e or test	And belief a	D by, or relation to the trans- eccause of pased on a d appraise at a subst- ion with a office of Date ►	ised va late > tted to a saction, my qua percen al or this antial or return of	alue of no iny of the fe I performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross vala r claim for ional Resp	oregoing p dd the majo as descrit a papraised 3 may subj uation miss refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50 ersons, rity of n proper ect me stateme y subje
decc er i gna 2a lecla arrie pra so, pra lue. e po sult e to ign gra lue. e po sult e to ign gra lue. e to ign gra lue. e to ign arrie e to i e to i arrie e to ign arrie e to i arrie e to i arrie e to i arrie e to i arrie e to i arrie e to i a arrie e to i a arrie e to i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	a valu dare that the folic item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the ad to any person wh isals during my tax i I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t . Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under set and the apprais of the penalty under ess address (includ or town, state, and a triv Done charitable organiz	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. d myself out to the pub to make appraisals of the erstand that a false of fin 6701(a) (aiding and al al of the value of the pro- section 6695A. I affirm the ing room or suite no.) ZIP code the Acknowledgm	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser rty to the transa e foregoing pers lic as an apprai e type of proper adulent overst betting the und operty that I kno hat I have not b	ction in whi iser or perfection of the second ction in whi iser or perfection of the second iser or perfection of the second iser or perfection of the second cerestatement of the second cerestatemen	b the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do prm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the ap the property value as de t of tax liability). In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence Title ►	vledge actions. e proper nor, don ular basis rraisal fe scribed i ion, I ur d be use e or test	and belief a ty, employed ee, or party 1 s; and that b ss were not t in the qualified in connection organize organize	an appra D by, or relation the trans- eccause of passed on a d appraise at a substriphic of Office of Date ► attion.	ised va ate > ted to a saction, my qua percen percen Profess	In the first second sec	oregoing pe di the majo as describ appraised 3 may subjuation misi uation misi refund, ma onsibility.	ersons, rity of n ed in th proper ect me statemee y subje
declarrie opra leclarrie opra so, opra e po sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e po sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e po sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e po sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e po sult e to ign gra so, opra sult e po sult e po e e e po sult e e po sult e e po e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	a valu dare that the folio item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the do any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ess address (includ or town, state, and 2 ct V Done charitable organiz action B, Part I, at hermore, this orga on thereof) within	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. d myself out to the pub to make appraisals of the erstand that a false of fin 6701(a) (aiding and al al of the value of the pro- section 6695Å. I affirm the ing room or suite no.) ZIP code te Acknowledges pove on the following mization affirms that is 3 years after the date	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai type of proper audulent overst betting the und opperty that I kno hat I have not b	Ction in whi iser or perfection of the second ction in whi iser or perfection of the second iser or perfection of the second central second of the second central second of the second central second of the second central second of the second of the second will file For second of the second of the second central second of the second of the second of the second central second of the second of t	b the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do orm appraisals on a regued. I certify that the app the property value as de t of tax liability). In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence Title ►	vledge e proper nor, don ular basis izribad i d be use scribed i d be use tritable 170(c) : dispose mation l	and belief a ty, employed ee, or party 1 s; and that b ss were not t n the qualified in connect organize organize and that it r s of the proc	an appra by, or rela to the trans- ecause of passed on a d appraise at a subst ion with a office of pate ▶ ation. eccived t perty des	ted to a saction, my que percential or this saction, preterior antial or an	Inv of the for I performe alifications tage of the Form 828 gross vali r claim for ional Resp Identifyi	oregoing p oregoing p of the majo as descriti a appraised 3 may subj uation misi refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50 ersons, rity of n ed in tt proper ect me estatemen y subje r
Par declarria so, ppra sult e po sult e po sult e po sult e po sult e po sult e to sult e to e to sult e t e t e t e t e t e t e t e t e	a valu dare that the folio item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the do any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ess address (includ r town, state, and 2 ct IV Done charitable organiz action B, Part I, at hermore, this orga on thereof) within . This acknowledge	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par to is related to any of the year for other persons. d myself out to the pub to make appraisals of the erstand that a false of fin 6701(a) (aiding and al al of the value of the pro- section 6695Å. I affirm the ing room or suite no.) ZIP code te Acknowledges pove on the following mization affirms that is 3 years after the date	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai type of proper audulent overst betting the und opperty that I kno hat I have not b	uctions. ove has to be the sp ction in whi ions. And, it iser or perfet ty being val atterment of erstatemen w, or reasc een barred De comp lifted orga sells, excl will file Fo ent with th	b the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do orm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the app the property value as de t of tax liability). In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidence Title ►	vledge e proper nor, don ular basis izribad i d be use scribed i d be use tritable 170(c) : dispose mation l	and belief a ty, employed ee, or party 1 s; and that b ss were not t n the qualified in connect organize organize and that it r s of the proc	an appra by, or rela to the trans- ecause of passed on a d appraise at a subst ion with a office of pate ▶ ation. eccived t perty des	ted to a saction, my que percential or this saction, preterior antial or an	International and the second s	oregoing period the majo as describ a appraised 3 may subjuation misis refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50 arsons, rity of n ed in til proper ect me y subje y subje r r
Par deccorrigination pra appra solue, e po sult e to sult e to e to sult e to sult e to sult e to sult e to sult e to sult e to sult e e to sult e e to sult e e e e e e t e e e e e e e e e e e e	a valu dare that the folio item). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decla are that I am not the do any person wh isals during my tax I declare that I holo isal, I am qualified t Furthermore, I und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ess address (includ r town, state, and 2 ct IV Done charitable organiz action B, Part I, at hermore, this orga on thereof) within . This acknowledge	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par io is related to any of the year for other persons. If myself out to the pub or make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fin 6701(a) (alding and al sal of the value of the pre- section 6695A. I affirm the ing room or suite no.) ZIP code the Acknowledges pove on the following inization acknowledges pove on the following inization affirms that if 3 years after the data gment does not repre-	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai type of proper audulent overst betting the und opperty that I kno hat I have not b	uctions. ove has to be the sp ction in whi ions. And, it iser or perfet ty being val atterment of erstatemen w, or reasc een barred De comp lifted orga sells, excl will file Fo ent with th	b the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do promappraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the ap the property value as de t of tax liability). In addinably should know, wou from presenting evidence Title ►	vledge ections. e proper nor, dor ular basis iraisal fe scribed i do usa e or test ritable 170(c): dispose dispose	and belief a ty, employed ee, or party 1 s; and that b ss were not t n the qualified in connect organize organize and that it r s of the proc	an appra D by, or relation to the trans- eccause of pased on a d appraise to office of bate ► ation. ecceived to apperty dee to the IRS	ted to a saction, my que percential or this saction, preterior antial or an	International and the second s	oregoing period the majo as describ a appraised 3 may subjuation misis refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50 ersons, rity of n eed in th proper ect me statemen y subje r r
deccorright igna Part decli arride popra lso, popra alue, esult issult i	a valu dare that the folicitem). Enter ident ature of taxpayer till Decis are that 1 am not the ad to any person wh isals during my tax: 1 declare that 1 holo isal, 1 am qualified th Furthermore, 1 und isals during my tax: 1 declare that 1 holo isal, 1 am qualified th Furthermore, 1 und enalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais the penalty under section ing from the apprais Signature ► ess address (includ or town, state, and 2 till Done charitable organization the recipient of the organization e of charitable organization	Le of \$500 or less wing item(s) include ifying letter from Par (donor) ► aration of Appra donor, the donee, a par io is related to any of the year for other persons. If myself out to the pub or make appraisals of the erstand that a false or fin 6701(a) (alding and al sal of the value of the pre- section 6695A. I affirm the ing room or suite no.) ZIP code the Acknowledges pove on the following inization acknowledges pove on the following inization affirms that if 3 years after the data gment does not repre-	s. See instr d in Part I ab t I and descri aiser ty to the transa foregoing pers lic as an apprai audulent overst betting the und hat I have not b nent—To E that it is a qua d date b in the event it a of receipt, it assent agreeme roperty for an	uctions. ove has to be the sp ction in whi ions. And, it iser or perfet ty being val atterment of erstatemen w, or reasc een barred De comp lifted orga sells, excl will file Fo ent with th	b the best of my know ecific item. See instru- ch the donor acquired th regularly used by the do orm appraisals on a regu ued. I certify that the app the property value as de t of tax liability). In addi nably should know, wou from presenting evidenc Title ►	vledge cctions. e proper nor, dor vlar basis raisal fe corbed i d be use e or test ritable 170(c): dispose mation l value. i dentifi	and belief a ty, employed ee, or party 1 s; and that b se were not t in the qualified derstand that didrestand that didrestand that didrestand that it or organize and that it r s of the pro Return, with	an appra by, or relation to the trans- ecause of observed to the trans- ecause of observed to the trans- action with a substrain on with a su	ted to a saction, my que percential or this saction, preterior antial or an	International and the second s	oregoing period the majo as describ a appraised 3 may subjuation misis refund, ma onsibility.	an \$50

Source: IRS.gov.



Appendix VIII

Management's Response to the Draft Report



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE ATLANTA, GA 30308

November 13, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL E. MCKENNEY ACTING DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDIT

FROM:

Peggy Bogadi. Veggy Dogadu Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division

SUBJECT:

Draft Audit Report – Many Taxpayers Are Still Not Complying With Noncash Charitable Contribution Reporting Requirements (Audit # 201140035)

We reviewed the subject draft report and agree that actions can be taken to improve taxpayer compliance with the reporting requirements for noncash charitable contributions. We agree with a number of recommendations in the report and continue to make improvements in this area. For example, we agree with your recommendation to clarify reporting instructions provided to taxpayers who are required to complete and submit Form 8283, *Noncash Charitable Contributions*. Additionally, we also agree to expand our procedures used to process tax returns claiming noncash contributions to ensure that we initiate correspondence to obtain missing Forms 8283 and/or qualified appraisals before the applicable charitable contribution deduction is allowed.

It is important to note that the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration's (TIGTA) analysis of return data for 507 accounts identified only 55 returns, or 11 percent, with missing documentation. Math Error Authority (MEA) is limited by the Internal Revenue Code, so the majority of the cases identified do not fall under the scope of MEA and must be addressed through deficiency procedures.

Our responses to all of your recommendations are detailed in the attachment to this response. While helpful, many of the recommendations tend to disclose sensitive business processes that, if included in this public response, could be a map to noncompliance. As such, we are responding to those recommendations in additional detail in the attachment to this response and have requested withholding of the pertinent sections.

We disagree with the conclusion in Appendix IV that the data in the IRS Individual Return Transaction File (IRTF) is not accurate. The IRTF database is a repository of



2

select data transcribed from paper returns and corresponding data elements from electronic returns. For either type of return, the IRTF will not reflect subsequent changes or corrections made after the return has been processed. The six accounts identified by the TIGTA as having a cumulative overstatement of approximately \$88 billion were reviewed and found to have the correct amounts of taxable income recorded and tax assessed on the Master File. Data validation is performed as return information is transcribed into our automated systems. Procedures in effect require transcribers to review and correct all erroneous entries; however, for these accounts, only the total contributions field was corrected. Those corrections were sufficient, though, to permit the returns to process correctly, as they were filed by the taxpayers. In all cases, the correct amounts of tax were assessed.

We also disagree with the projected outcome measure of \$1.1 billion of potential tax revenue lost as a result of unsubstantiated donations. An unsubstantiated expense does not automatically equate to an unallowable expense. The analysis by the TIGTA considered only whether appropriate substantiation was provided when the returns were filed. It did not consider subsequent enforcement activity to determine if IRS had questioned the deduction and whether the taxpayer had subsequently substantiated the deduction. Our review reflects examination activity commensurate with current examination coverage rates.

If you have any questions, please contact me, or a member of your staff may contact Peter J. Stipek, Director, Customer Account Services, Wage and Investment Division, at (404) 338-8910.

Attachment



Attachment

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division, should:

RECOMMENDATION 1

Expand current processes to identify all tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions of more than \$500 that do not have a Form 8283 attached to the tax return. The processes should identify all tax returns claiming noncash contributions more than \$500,000 for which a qualified appraisal has not been attached to the tax return. The IRS should request that the taxpayer provide the missing documentation before allowing a deduction for the noncash charitable contributions.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

We will expand our processes to ensure that we identify tax returns claiming noncash charitable contributions that do not have Form 8283, *Noncash Charitable Contributions*, and/or a qualified appraisal attached, as required by applicable statutory thresholds. Correspondence will be initiated to obtain the missing documents from taxpayers before the applicable charitable contribution deduction is allowed.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

January 15, 2014

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL

Director, Submission Processing, Customer Account Services, Wage and Investment Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN

We will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management control system.

RECOMMENDATION 2

**

* ************************************

CORRECTIVE ACTION



2

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

January 15, 2014

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL

Director, Submission Processing, Customer Account Services, Wage and Investment Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN

We will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management control system.

RECOMMENDATION 3

Revise the Form 8283 and related instructions to: (1) require taxpayers to include the contribution date in addition to the Donee Acknowledgment of Receipt for donations of noncash property more than \$5,000; (2) clarify that taxpayers must group similar items and claim the aggregate value of the noncash contributions as deductions regardless of the number of different organizations to which the donations are made; and (3) require taxpayers to include the number of shares donated when reporting contributions of securities.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

Form 8283 will be revised to require inclusion of the contribution date for donations of noncash property valued greater than \$5,000. Additionally, the form and/or its instructions will be revised to clarify the grouping and aggregation of similar items, as well as, require the number of shares be reported for donations of securities.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

February 15, 2014

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL

Director, Media and Publications, Customer Assistance, Relationships and Education, Wage and Investment Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN

We will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management control system.

RECOMMENDATION 4

Develop a process to verify the accuracy of noncash contribution amounts captured in IRS data systems from individual tax returns. The IRS should also verify the noncash contribution amounts recorded for the tax accounts that TIGTA identified as being potentially erroneous and make corrections where warranted.



3

CORRECTIVE ACTION

Data validation is performed as each tax return is transcribed into our automated systems. Procedures require transcribers to correct all input errors; however, in the case of the six accounts identified, the transcribers corrected only the total contributions deduction field. This permitted the affected returns to process with the correct amount of total deductions, taxable income, and tax liability assessed. Only the total deduction amounts, which were validated, were passed to downstream systems. Each of the accounts has been reviewed and it has been ascertained they are correctly recorded on the Master File, which is the official system of record.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

N/A

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL

N/A

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN N/A

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division, should:

RECOMMENDATION 5

Match Copy B of Forms 1098-C submitted by taxpayers with their tax returns to the Forms 1098-C filed by charitable organizations to identify taxpayers who are claiming motor vehicle donations for more than the value reported by the charitable organization to identify questionable claims for motor vehicle contributions and take appropriate action to adjust the taxpayer's deduction for noncash charitable contributions accordingly.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

We disagree that the recommended action will be effective in identifying questionable deductions for the donation of motor vehicles. Copy B of Form 1098-C, *Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes*, is a supporting document only. *****2(f)********



4

IMPLEMENTATION DATE N/A

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL N/A

<u>CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN</u> N/A

RECOMMENDATION 6

Coordinate with the Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division, to develop a process to identify charitable organizations that did not file a Form 1098-C for a motor vehicle donation as required and take appropriate action to ensure the organization is in compliance.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

The Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division has a vigorous outreach program in place to advise donor organizations of their filing requirements. We will continue to monitor whether program enhancements are needed.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

N/A

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL N/A

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN N/A